

Investeşte în oameni !

FONDUL SOCIAL EUROPEAN Programul Operațional Sectorial pentru Dezvoltarea Resurselor Umane 2007 – 2013 Axa prioritară nr.1 "Educația și formarea profesională în sprijinul creșterii economice și dezvoltării societății bazate pe cunoaștere" Domeniul major de intervenție 1.5 "Programe doctorale și post-doctorale în sprijinul cercetării" Titlul proiectului: "Cultura română și modele culturale europene: cercetare, sincronizare, durabilitate" Beneficiar: Academia Română

Numărul de identificare al contractului: POSDRU/159/1.5/S/136077

Individual and society in the cities of the roman Dacia

Supervisor:

Prof. univ. dr. Viorel PANAITE

Doctoral student:

Norbert SZEREDAI

This paper is supported by the Sectorial Operational Programme Human Resources Development (SOP HRD), financed from the European Social Fund and by the Romanian Government under the contract number SOP HRD/159/1.5/S/136077.

Bucharest, 2015











SUMMARY

| I. | INTRODUCTION | |
|-----|---|----|
| II. | THE CITIES OF THE ROMAN DACIA | |
| III | METHODOLOGICAL SPECTS REGARDING THE BIOARCHAEOLOGICAL STUDY | 16 |
| IV | .CASE STUDIES | |



ABSTRACT

This study offers a multidisciplinary approach on the urban population of the Roman Dacia. This is, actually the precursor of a PhD thesis which tries to combine the results of the research made on historical and archaeological data with epigraphy and bioarchaeology. The given answers try to show a larger image of the debated problem.

Structured in few chapters, the text of the study is written bad on concepts of urban life in Dacia and bioarchaeological study of the osteological material coming from Roman necropolises.

The cities and towns of the Roman Empire, *municipium* or *colonia*, tried to be as best they could copies of Rome, imitating administrative organization, institutions, architecture, urbanism and even the art of the capital. So, every single town of the Empire had his own territory, institutions and their own laws, on which they built a small state (res publica). The fundamental laws of the town, which were giving the status of it, were pinted at the settlement of the town. In the imperial era the emperor was the one who could establish the urban settlements. And so, every new-given privilege or the changing of the status of the town was made just by the emperor.

We should stress upon the fact that we don't have recent analyses, using modern methods on osteologic materials coming from Roman necropolises from Dacia. Although they are some notable physical anthropological analyses made in the '50s and '60s on some so-called dacoroman populations. These analyses don't deal with the postcranian skeleton. We mention here the skeletons from Romula, which consist of 57 inhumation burials and some ten of incineration. It weren't made any osteological analyses on them. The necropolis of Locusteni presents 25.97% inhumation burials. The author of the publication affirms that, unfortunately, the osteological analyses weren't finished. The necropolis from Soporu de Campie, which is published in a monographic study is doubtable regarding the dating of the necropolis.

This study, being part of a larger investigation, offers the analyses of the osteological material from the necropolis of Colonia Dacica Sarmizegetusa, from the trajanic *castrum* from Malaiesti and from a sarmatic population from the roman period found in Arad county.